

# An Enriched Small Object Argument Over a Cofibrantly Generated Base

CT2024

**Jan Jurka**

**jurka@math.muni.cz**

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University

27th June 2024

# Outline

- Quillen [Qui67] introduced a transfinite construction of weak factorization systems, dubbed the *small object argument*.
- Our main goal is to introduce an enriched version of the small object argument.
- Along the way, we introduce a variation of the Day convolution in which we use copowers instead of the monoidal product.

## Small Object Argument

First, the classical small object argument in a category  $\mathcal{K}$ .

### Definition.

a morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is said to have the *left lifting property* with respect to a morphism  $k: C \rightarrow D$ , which we denote  $f \square k$ , if for each commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{r} & C \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow k \\ B & \xrightarrow{s} & D \end{array}$$

there exists a diagonal  $d: B \rightarrow C$  making the two triangles below commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{r} & C \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow d & \downarrow k \\ B & \xrightarrow{s} & D \end{array}$$

Given a class  $\mathcal{J}$  of morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}$ :

$$\mathcal{J}^\square := \{k \mid \forall f \in \mathcal{J} : f \square k\}$$

$$\square \mathcal{J} := \{f \mid \forall k \in \mathcal{J} : f \square k\}$$

## Definition.

A *weak prefactorization system*  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is a pair of classes of morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^\square = \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{L} = \square \mathcal{R}$ .

Furthermore, a weak prefactorization system  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *weak factorization system* if for each morphism  $f$  there exists a pair of morphisms  $g \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $f = h \cdot g$ .

Moreover, a weak factorization system  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be *cofibrantly generated* if there exists a set  $\mathcal{J}$  of morphisms such that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{J}^\square$ .

## Small Object Argument.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a category with pushouts and transfinite composites, and  $\mathcal{I}$  a **set** of morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}$  whose domains are presentable. Then for each morphism  $f$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  there exists a factorization  $f = m \cdot e$  such that  $e \in {}^{\square}(\mathcal{I}^{\square})$  and  $m \in \mathcal{I}^{\square}$ .

## Corollary.

Under the same assumptions, we obtain a weak factorization system  $({}^{\square}(\mathcal{I}^{\square}), \mathcal{I}^{\square})$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ .

The construction takes suitable pushouts of morphisms in  $\mathcal{I}$  and then takes a (nested) transfinite composite of these pushouts.

An important ingredient is the stability of classes  ${}^{\square}\mathcal{I}$  under pushouts and transfinite composites.

The aim of this talk is to introduce an enriched version.

## Interlude: Copowers in Categories of $\mathcal{V}$ -Functors

### Setting.

- $\mathcal{V}$  is a *cosmos*, i.e. a bicomplete symmetric monoidal closed category,
- $\mathcal{K}$  is a copowered  $\mathcal{V}$ -category admitting coends of the form (1),
- $\mathcal{A}$  is a small monoidal  $\mathcal{V}$ -category.

### Theorem.

- (i) The  $\mathcal{V}$ -category  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}]$  is a left  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$ -actegory such that the action on a fixed object of  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}]$  always has a right adjoint, and
- (ii) the category  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}]_0$  is a copowered  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$ -category.

## Notation.

By  $\odot$  we denote copowering in  $\mathcal{K}$  and also the monoidal product in  $\mathcal{V}$ . The monoidal product in  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $m$ .

## Definition.

Given  $\mathcal{V}$ -functors  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ,  $X: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ , we define the  $\mathcal{V}$ -functor  $F * X: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  by:

$$(F * X)(x) := \int^{a,b \in \mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{A}(m(a,b), x) \odot F(a)) \odot X(b), \quad (1)$$

## Remark.

The definition above is the copowering/action in the previous theorem. Also, note that it is just like the Day convolution except that we use copowering in  $\mathcal{K}$  in the second occurrence of  $\odot$ .

The associativity of the action  $*$  will be essential for our enriched small object argument.

The internal hom in  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}]_0$  is given by:

$$\langle X, Y \rangle := \int_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{K}(X(a), Y(m(-, a))),$$

i.e.

$$[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}](F * X, Y) \cong [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}](F, \langle X, Y \rangle).$$

When  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Set}$ , the enrichment of  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}]_0$  over  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Set}]$  has been described directly by McDermott and Uustalu [MU22]. They did it in the language of locally  $\mathcal{A}$ -graded categories, which are an elementary formulation of  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Set}]$ -enriched categories due to Wood [Woo76].

# The Enriched Category of Arrows

We specialize the preceding to  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{2}$ , where  $\mathbf{2}$  is the free  $\mathcal{V}$ -category on the category with two objects  $0, 1$  and a single non-identity morphism  $0 \rightarrow 1$ . Monoidal product on  $\mathbf{2}$  is given by the cartesian product, on objects as follows  $m(x, y) := \min(x, y)$ .

Then  $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{V}]$  and  $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{K}]$  are the  $\mathcal{V}$ -categories of morphisms in  $\mathcal{V}_0$  and in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ , respectively.

Hom-objects in  $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{K}]$  as a  $\mathcal{V}$ -category are given by  $Sq(f, k)$  from the following definition.

### Definition.

For each pair of morphisms  $f: A \rightarrow B, k: C \rightarrow D$  in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ , define  $Sq(f, k)$  to be the *object of squares connecting  $f$  to  $k$* , i.e. the pullback-object in the following pullback square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Sq(f, k) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathcal{K}(A, C) \\
 p_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{K}(A, k) \\
 \mathcal{K}(B, D) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(f, D)} & \mathcal{K}(A, D)
 \end{array}$$

The existence of coends of the form (1) is equivalent to the existence of pushouts of the form  $u \odot f$  from the following definition. Note that  $\nabla$  is the action  $*$  from previous slides.

## Definition.

Suppose that  $u$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{V}_0$  and  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ . Then the *pushout-product*  $\nabla(u, f): u \odot f \rightarrow V \odot B$  is the induced morphism depicted in the following diagram, where  $(i_1, i_2)$  is a pushout of  $U \odot f$  and  $u \odot A$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U \odot A & \xrightarrow{U \odot f} & U \odot B \\
 \downarrow u \odot A & & \downarrow u \odot B \\
 & \swarrow i_1 & \\
 & u \odot f & \\
 & \nwarrow i_2 & \\
 V \odot A & \xrightarrow{V \odot f} & V \odot B \\
 & \searrow \nabla(u, f) &
 \end{array}$$

**Definition.**

We say that a class  $\mathcal{S}$  of morphisms in  $\mathcal{V}_0$  is *stable under corners* if whenever  $u, v \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $\nabla(u, v) \in \mathcal{S}$ .

The hom-object  $\langle f, k \rangle$  for  $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{K}]$  as a  $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{V}]$ -enriched category becomes  $e_{f,k}$  from the following definition.

### Definition.

Suppose that  $f: A \rightarrow B, k: C \rightarrow D$  are morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ . Then define  $e_{f,k}: \mathcal{K}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(f, k)$  to be the induced morphism depicted below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{K}(B, C) & & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(f, C)} & & \mathcal{K}(A, C) \\
 & \searrow^{e_{f, k}} & & & \downarrow \mathcal{K}(A, k) \\
 & & \text{Sq}(f, k) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathcal{K}(A, C) \\
 & \searrow^{\mathcal{K}(B, k)} & & & \downarrow \mathcal{K}(A, k) \\
 & & \mathcal{K}(B, D) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(f, D)} & \mathcal{K}(A, D) \\
 & & \downarrow p_2 & & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

# Enriched Lifting Properties

## Setting.

- $\mathcal{V}$  is a cosmos,
- $\mathcal{K}$  is a copowered  $\mathcal{V}$ -category that has pushouts and transfinite composites,
- $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$  is a weak prefactorization system on  $\mathcal{V}_0$ .

## Definition.

Suppose that  $f: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $k: C \rightarrow D$  are morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ . Then we write  $f \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square} k$  if  $e_{f,k} \in \mathcal{R}$ , where  $e_{f,k}: \mathcal{K}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(f, k)$  is the induced morphism from the previous slides.

Furthermore, if  $f \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square} k$ , then we say that  $f$  has the *left  $\mathcal{F}$ -lifting property* with respect to  $k$ , or equivalently that  $k$  has the *right  $\mathcal{F}$ -lifting property* with respect to  $f$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{I}$  is a class of morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ , then we define

$$\mathcal{I} \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square} := \{k \in [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{K}] \mid \forall f \in \mathcal{I}: f \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square} k\},$$

$$\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square} \mathcal{I} := \{f \in [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{K}] \mid \forall k \in \mathcal{I}: f \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square} k\}.$$

## Examples.

- In the case  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Set}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = (\text{injective, surjective})$  we capture the ordinary (weak) lifting property, i.e.  $f \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square} k$  iff  $f \square k$ .
- In the case  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Set}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = (\text{all functions, bijections})$  we capture the strong lifting property in which the diagonal is required to be unique.
- Suppose that  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Cat}$ ,

$\mathcal{F} = (\text{injective on objects, surjective equivalences})$ . Then  $f \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square} k$  iff  $e_{f,k}$  is a surjective equivalence, which happens iff for each pair of 1-cells  $r: A \rightarrow C$ ,  $s: B \rightarrow D$  satisfying  $k \cdot r = s \cdot f$  there exists a diagonal  $d: B \rightarrow C$  such that  $d \cdot f = r$ ,  $k \cdot d = s$ , and furthermore if  $d, d': B \rightarrow C$  are 1-cells, and  $\theta: d \cdot f \Rightarrow d' \cdot f$ ,  $\theta': k \cdot d \Rightarrow k \cdot d'$  are 2-cells such that  $k * \theta = \theta' * f$ , then there exists a unique 2-cell  $\varphi: d \Rightarrow d'$  such that  $\varphi * f = \theta$  and  $k * \varphi = \theta'$ .

## Definition.

An *enriched weak  $\mathcal{F}$ -factorization system* on  $\mathcal{K}$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$  of classes of morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}_0$  such that each morphism  $h: A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{K}_0$  has a factorization  $h = g \cdot f$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{R}$ , and furthermore  $\mathcal{L} = \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square} \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L} \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a class of morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ .

### Proposition.

The class  $\square^{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{I}$  is stable under pushouts in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

### Proposition.

The class  $\square^{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{I}$  is stable under transfinite compositions in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

### Proposition.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  is stable under corners and admits pushouts of the form  $u \odot f$ . Then  $\square^{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{I}$  is stable under **copowered pushouts** in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Recall that a *colimit in  $\mathcal{K}$*  means a colimit in  $\mathcal{K}_0$  that is sent by each representable functor  $\mathcal{K}(-, K): \mathcal{K}_0^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0$  to a limit in  $\mathcal{V}_0$ .

Here's what the stability under copowered pushouts means:

## Proposition.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  is stable under corners and admits pushouts of the form  $u \odot f$ . Moreover, suppose that we have a span

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u \odot f & \xrightarrow{(g,h)} & K \\ \nabla(u,f) \downarrow & & \\ V \odot B & & \end{array}$$

in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ , where  $u: U \rightarrow V$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $f: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g: V \odot A \rightarrow K$ , and  $h: U \odot B \rightarrow K$  are morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ , and that the following square is a pushout in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u \odot f & \xrightarrow{(g,h)} & K \\ \nabla(u,f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ V \odot B & \xrightarrow{g'} & L \end{array}$$

If  $f \in \square^{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{I}$ , then  $f' \in \square^{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{I}$ .

An important ingredient for our proof of the stability under copowered pushouts is the associativity of  $\nabla$  (i.e. of the variation  $*$  of the Day convolution):

$$\nabla(v, \nabla(u, f)) \cong \nabla(\nabla(v, u), f),$$

where  $u, v$  are morphisms in  $\mathcal{V}_0$  and  $f$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ .

# Enriched Small Object Argument

## Remark.

We will prove our small object argument in the special case when  $\mathcal{F}$  is a cofibrantly generated weak factorization system.

## Definition.

Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a regular cardinal. An object  $K$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be  *$\lambda$ -presentable in the enriched sense* [Kel82] if the functor  $\mathcal{K}(K, -): \mathcal{K}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0$  preserves  $\lambda$ -directed colimits in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Moreover, an object  $K$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be *presentable in the enriched sense* if there exists a regular cardinal  $\mu$  such that  $K$  is  $\mu$ -presentable.

## Theorem.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a cosmos,  $\mathcal{K}$  is a copowered  $\mathcal{V}$ -category that has pushouts and transfinite composites,  $\mathcal{I}$  is a **set** of morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$  is a **set** of morphisms in  $\mathcal{V}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$  is a weak factorization system on  $\mathcal{V}_0$  that's cofibrantly generated by  $\mathcal{J}$ , and

- (i) the domains and codomains of morphisms in  $\mathcal{I}$  are presentable in the enriched sense.
- (ii) the domains and codomains of morphisms in  $\mathcal{J}$  are presentable (in the unenriched sense), and
- (iii)  $\mathcal{L}$  is stable under corners.

Then for each morphism  $f: K \rightarrow L$  in  $\mathcal{K}_0$  there exists a factorization  $f = m \cdot e$  such that  $e$  and  $m$  are morphisms in  $\mathcal{K}_0$  satisfying

$$e \in \square^{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{I}^{\square}) \text{ and } m \in \mathcal{I}^{\square}.$$

## Corollary.

Under the same assumptions, we obtain an enriched weak  $\mathcal{F}$ -factorization system  $(\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square}(\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{I}}\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square}), \overset{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{I}}\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\square})$ .

The construction takes suitable copowered pushouts of morphisms in  $\mathcal{I}$  and then takes a (nested) transfinite composite of these copowered pushouts. In each step we use  $|\mathcal{J}|$ -many kinds of copowered pushouts and this is done by cyclings through  $\mathcal{J}$ .

$\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Set}$ 

- $\mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset \rightarrow 1\}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F} = (\text{injective, surjective})$ : Classical 1-categorical Small Object Argument for weak factorization systems. One kind of copowered pushouts.
- $\mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset \rightarrow 1, 2 \rightarrow 1\}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F} = (\text{all functions, bijections})$ : 1-categorical Small Object Argument for orthogonal factorization systems. Two kinds of copowered pushouts.

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Cat}$$

$\mathcal{I}$  consists of the following three morphisms:

$$\emptyset \longrightarrow \bullet$$

$$(\bullet \quad \bullet) \longrightarrow (\bullet \rightarrow \bullet)$$

$$(\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet) \longrightarrow (\bullet \rightarrow \bullet)$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{F} =$  (injective on objects, surjective equivalences). This leads to a variant of a 2-categorical Small Object Argument. The construction uses three kinds of copowered pushouts.

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Ch}$$

$$\mathcal{J} = \{S^{n-1} \hookrightarrow D^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{R}$  are precisely the surjective quasi-isomorphisms. This leads to a variant of a dg-categorical Small Object Argument. The construction uses  $\aleph_0$  kinds of copowered pushouts.

# arXiv Preprint

More details can be found in the preprint:

An Enriched Small Object Argument Over a Cofibrantly Generated Base (arXiv:2401.05974)

## Bibliography

[**Kel82**] G. M. Kelly. Structures defined by finite limits in the enriched context. I. Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle, 23(1):3–42, 1982. Third Colloquium on Categories, Part VI (Amiens, 1980).

[**MU22**] Dylan McDermott, Tarmo Uustalu. Flexibly graded monads and graded algebras. Mathematics of program construction, 102–128, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 13544, Springer, Cham, 2022.

[**Qui67**] Daniel G. Quillen, Homotopical algebra. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.

[**Woo76**] Richard James Wood. Indicial methods for relative categories. Ph.D. thesis. Dalhousie University, 1976.

Thank you for your attention!

**MASARYK  
UNIVERSITY**